GETTING THE DEAL THROUGH

Restructuring & Insolvency

in 53 jurisdictions worldwide

2012

Contributing editor: Bruce Leonard

Published by
Getting the Deal Through
in association with:

Andreas Neocleous & Co LLC
Bloomfield – Advocates & Solicitors
Bofill Mir & Alvarez Jana
Bowman Gilfillan
Cassels Brock & Blackwell LLP
Chancery Chambers
Charles Adams Ritchie & Duckworth
Clasis Law
Dundas & Wilson
Felsberg, Pedretti e Mannrich Advogados e Consultores Legais
Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP
Graham Thompson & Co
Headrick Rizik Alvarez & Fernández
Hoet Peláez Castillo & Duque
Jorge Avendaño & Forsyth Abogados
Kinstellar
Kleyr Grasso Associés
Law Firm Glimstedt
Lipman Karas
Logos Legal Services
Mamić Perić Reberski Rimac Law Firm
Motieka & Audzevicius
O'Melveny & Myers
Oscós Abogados
Osei-Ofei Swabi & Co
Patton Moreno & Asvat
Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP
PotamitisVekris
Qays H Zu'bi Attorneys & Legal Consultants
Rui Pena, Arnaut & Associados – Sociedade de Advogados RL
Sarie-Eldin & Partners
SCP Miriana Mircov Relicons SPRL
Setterwalls
SyCip Salazar Hernandez & Gatmaitan
Walder Wyss Ltd
Wardyński & Partners
Waselius & Wist
Weerawong, Chinnavat & Peangpanor Ltd
WongPartnership LLP
Yoon & Yang LLC
Zaid Ibrahim & Co

GETTING THE DEAL THROUGH®

Restructuring & Insolvency 2012

Contributing editor
Bruce Leonard
Cassels Brock & Blackwell LLP

Business development managers
Alan Lee
George Ingledew
Robyn Hetherington
Dan White

Marketing managers
Ellie Notley
Alice Hazard

Marketing assistants
William Bentley
Zosia Demkowicz

Subscriptions manager
Rachel Nurse
Subscriptions@GettingTheDealThrough.com

Assistant editor
Adam Myers

Editorial assistant
Lydia Gerges

Senior production editor
Jonathan Cowie

Chief subeditor
Jonathan Allen

Production editor
John Harris

Subeditors
Davet Hyland
Caroline Rawson

Editor-in-chief
Callum Campbell

Publisher
Richard Davey

Restructuring & Insolvency 2012
Published by
Law Business Research Ltd
87 Lancaster Road
London, W11 1QQ, UK
Tel: +44 20 7908 1188
Fax: +44 20 7229 6910

© Law Business Research Ltd 2011
No photocopying: copyright licences do not apply.

First published 1999
Thirteenth edition 2011
ISSN 1468-3180

The information provided in this publication is general and may not apply in a specific situation. Legal advice should always be sought before taking any legal action based on the information provided. This information is not intended to create, nor does receipt of it constitute, a lawyer–client relationship. The publishers and authors accept no responsibility for any acts or omissions contained herein. Although the information provided is accurate as of December 2011, be advised that this is a developing area.

Printed and distributed by
Encompass Print Solutions
Tel: 0844 2480 112

Law
Business
Research

CONTENTS

Global Overview James Watson *Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP* and Alan W Kornberg *Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP* 3

AFRICA

Botswana Kwadwo Osei-Ofei *Osei-Ofei Swabi & Co* 56
Nigeria Dayo Adu *Bloomfield – Advocates & Solicitors* 329
South Africa Claire van Zuylen *Bowman Gilfillan* 427

AMERICAS

Brazil Thomas Benes Felsberg and Paulo Fernando Campana Filho *Felsberg, Pedretti e Mannrich Advogados e Consultores Legais* 61
Canada E Bruce Leonard, David S Ward and Larry C Ellis *Cassels Brock & Blackwell LLP* 72
Chile Octavio Bofill, Jorge Lembeye and Edder Cifuentes *Bofill Mir & Alvarez Jana* 88
Mexico Darío U Oscós C and Darío A Oscós Rueda *Oscós Abogados* 309
Peru Guilhermo Auler and Michelle Barclay *Jorge Avendaño & Forsyth Abogados* 343
United States Alan W Kornberg and Claudia R Tobler *Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP* 480
Venezuela Carlos Dominguez *Hoet Peláez Castillo & Duque* 488

ASIA-PACIFIC

Australia Scott Foreman and Lucas Arnold *Lipman Karas* 4
China Melissa Thomas and Christian Zeppezauer *Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP* 96
India Sakate Khaitan, Sangeeta Jhunjhunwala and Mahrukh Umrigar *Clasis Law* 230
Japan Kazuki Okada, Takahiko Itoh and Mariko Inoue *Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer* 253
Korea Sang Goo Han, Hyung Bae Park and Yoori Choi *Yoon & Yang LLC* 267
Malaysia Peh Lee Kheng, Khoo Kay Ping and Effendy bin Othman *Zaid Ibrahim & Co* 296
Philippines Ricardo Ma PG Ongkiko and Russel L Rodriguez *SyCip Salazar Hernandez & Gatmaitan* 351
Thailand Chinnawat Thongpakdee, Suntus Kirdsinsap and Bongkarn Jiraboonsri *Weerawong, Chinnavat & Peangpanor Ltd* 465

EUROPE AND MIDDLE EAST

Austria Friedrich Jergitsch, Michael Raninger and Florian Knotzer *Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP* 15
Bahrain Harnek S Shoker *Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP* and Grahame Nelson *Qays H Zu'bi Attorneys & Legal Consultants* 33
Belgium Charles-Antoine Leunen and Jan-Willem Geeroms *Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP* 45
Croatia Vladimir Mamić and Goran Jujnović Lučić *Mamić Perić Reberski Rimac Law Firm* 104
Cyprus Maria Kyriacou and Elias Neocleous *Andreas Neocleous & Co LLC* 110
Czech Republic Růžena Trojánková and Tomáš Osička *Kinstellar* 118
Egypt Motaz El-Dreny *Sarie-Eldin & Partners* 133
England & Wales Ken Baird, Anne Sharp, Victoria Cromwell and Kathryn Bruce *Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP* 140
Estonia Indrek Leppik, Martti Peetsalu and Olga Harlamova *Law Firm Glimstedt* 156
European Union Catherine Balmond, Victoria Cromwell and Wolf Harlfinger *Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP* 164
Finland Lauri Peltola and Timo Lehtimäki *Waselius & Wist* 175
France Alan Mason, Nicolas Morelli and Alexandra Szekely *Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP* 181
Germany Franz Aleth and Wolf Harlfinger *Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP* 190
Greece Stathis Potamitis, Ioannis Kontoulas and Eleana Nounou *PotamitisVekris* 204
Iceland Erlendur Gíslason, Ólafur Eiríksson, Heiðar Ásberg Atlason and Heiðar Örn Stefánsson *Logos Legal Services* 221
Italy Raffaele Lener and Giovanna Vigliotti *Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP* 239
Lithuania Emil Radzihovsky, Giedrius Kolesnikovas and Beata Kozubovska *Motieka & Audzevicius* 275
Luxembourg Marc Kleyr *Kleyr Grasso Associés* 285
Netherlands Michael Broeders and Thijs Flokstra *Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP* 319
Poland Michał Barłowski *Wardyński & Partners* 362
Portugal Nuno Pena, Joaquim Shearman de Macedo and Andreia Moreira *Rui Pena, Arnaut & Associados – Sociedade de Advogados RL* 374
Romania Lavinia Olivia Iancu *SCP Miriana Mircov Relicons SPRL* 384
Russia Dmitry Surikov, Maria Zaitseva and Svetlana Tolstoukhova *Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP* 393
Scotland Claire Massie *Dundas & Wilson* 406
Spain Eduard Arruga and Natalia Gómez *Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP* 437
Sweden Odd Swarting and Niklas Körling *Setterwalls* 446
Switzerland Christoph Stäubli *Walder Wyss Ltd* 453
United Arab Emirates Pervez Akhtar, Tarek El-Assra and William Coleman *Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP* 471

OFFSHORE

Bahamas Leif G Farquharson *Graham Thompson & Co* 24
Barbados Trevor Carmichael and Lauren McIntosh *Chancery Chambers* 40
Cayman Islands Graham F Ritchie QC and Rebecca Hume *Charles Adams Ritchie & Duckworth* 79
Dominican Republic Mary Fernández and Hipólito García *Headrick Rizik Alvarez & Fernández* 127
Hong Kong Mark Fairbairn, Ashley Bell and Bronwen May *O'Melveny & Myers* 213
Panama Ivette E Martínez S *Patton Moreno & Asvat* 335
Singapore Sean Yu Chou, Manoj Pillay Sandrasegara and Mark Choy *WongPartnership LLP* 420

Quick Reference Tables 495

Bahamas

Leif G Farquharson
Graham Thompson & Co

1 Legislation

What legislation is applicable to bankruptcies and reorganisations?

The Companies Act 1992 (Companies Act) is the principal statute applicable to insolvencies of ordinary domestic companies. The International Business Companies Act 2000 (IBC Act) is the principal statute applicable to insolvencies of international business companies (IBCs), which are often incorporated for the purpose of conducting business abroad. There are also specific statutes having limited application to insolvencies and reorganisations of companies carrying on certain types of business, such as insurance (domestic or external), banking and investment fund administration.

The Companies (Winding-up) Rules (Winding-up Rules) regulate the procedure to be followed in an involuntary winding-up or court-supervised winding-up. The Rules of the Supreme Court, which govern civil proceedings generally, also have some application to proceedings entailing insolvency or reorganisation. This is so in respect of both ordinary companies and IBCs.

Individual bankruptcies in the Bahamas are governed by the Bankruptcy Act 1870 and the Bankruptcy Rules made thereunder. The Bankruptcy Rules merely provide for the provisions of the First and Second Schedules of the United Kingdom Bankruptcy Act 1914 to have application to bankruptcy proceedings locally.

2 Excluded entities

What entities are excluded from general bankruptcy proceedings and what legislation applies to them?

No entitles are expressly excluded from the application of the general statutory provisions governing corporate insolvencies. However, there are modifications in their application with respect to, for instance:

- insurance companies, which require the Insurance Commission to be a party to proceedings for winding-up and which may in certain circumstances be wound up on the application of policyholders;
- banks, which may be wound up on the application of the governor of the Central Bank for the purposes of protecting depositors and others; and
- investment funds, which must notify the Securities Commission in writing of the commencement of any winding-up, dissolution or other termination procedures.

3 Secured lending and credit (immoveables)

What principal types of security are taken on immoveable (real) property?

The legal mortgage constitutes the principal form of security taken over real property. This involves the transfer of the whole of the mortgagor's legal interest in the property to the mortgagee, subject to the mortgagor's right to redeem legal title upon repayment of the debt (known as the 'equity of redemption').

An equitable mortgage may also be taken over immoveable property. Unlike a legal mortgage, this involves no transfer of any legal estate or interest to the creditor but rather confers an equitable interest in the land. The holder is entitled to have the property subject to the mortgage sold by order of the court to realise the security.

The fixed charge is another form of security frequently taken over immoveable property in the Bahamas. Under this arrangement the asset in question (whether currently owned by the debtor or not) is charged with the satisfaction of the debt immediately upon the debtor acquiring an interest in it.

4 Secured lending and credit (moveables)

What principal types of security are taken on moveable (personal) property?

Various forms of security are taken on personal property. The form used is largely dependent upon the nature of the asset in question, the interest of the debtor therein and the objectives of the creditor in taking the security.

Mortgages and charges are frequently taken over specific assets such as ships, aircraft, vehicles and shares. Hypothecations of cash deposits are regularly used by lenders seeking protection against default on loan obligations, especially where overdraft facilities have been provided. Legal assignments of choses in action are also commonplace in the lending context, particularly as it relates receivables and insurance policy proceeds. Debentures (incorporating both fixed and floating charges) are widely utilised as a security instrument over both specific assets and assets that may be changed from time to time, such as stock in trade, book debts, office equipment, furniture and raw materials.

There are no statutory formalities contained in the Companies Act or the IBC Act governing the creation of security interests generally. Under the IBC Act, however, a charge of shares of an IBC must indicate an intention to create a charge and indicate the amount secured by the charge or how that amount is to be calculated. A charge of shares of an IBC may also be governed by the law of a jurisdiction other than the Bahamas.

The question whether an instrument has been effective to create a floating charge or a fixed charge is determined according to principles derived from English common law and will be based on:

- the terms of the security document;
- the nature of the rights created in favour of the charge-holder and those retained by the debtor; and
- the nature of the property being encumbered.

Liens essentially confer a legal right to retain possession of goods until money owed to the holder has been paid. They may arise by contract, statute or operation of law. This form of security commonly arises locally in favour of specific office-holders, professionals and tradesmen who provide labour or incur expenses for which they are entitled to be remunerated. These include provisional liquidators,

receivers and receiver managers, attorneys and workmen who expend labour and skill on improving or repairing chattels bailed to them.

5 Unsecured credit

What remedies are available to unsecured creditors? Are the processes difficult or time-consuming? Are pre-judgment attachments available? Do any special procedures apply to foreign creditors?

An unsecured creditor generally has no special rights over the property and assets of a debtor until he obtains and enforces a judgment. Where there are concerns that assets belonging to the debtor may be dissipated or removed from the jurisdiction prior to judgment being given, the creditor may obtain *Mareva* injunctive relief to restrict dealings with the assets in question or other assets potentially capable of satisfying any judgment. This will often require the giving of an undertaking to repay the debtor for any financial losses suffered by reason of the restrictions imposed should the unsecured creditor's claim ultimately fail.

A range of enforcement procedures are available to an unsecured creditor who has obtained a judgment in his favour. These include:

- writs for the seizure and sale of the debtor's goods and assets;
- garnishee orders attaching money due to the judgment debtor by third parties;
- charging orders;
- receivership; and
- orders for committal.

The procedure to be invoked is primarily influenced by the amount of the debt owed and the nature of the goods available to satisfy the judgment.

Under the Rules of the Supreme Court, the court has the discretion to require a creditor who is not ordinarily resident within the jurisdiction to pay 'security for costs' as a precondition to pursuing legal proceedings in the Bahamas. The factors taken into consideration in making such an order normally include the estimated future legal costs of the defendant in resisting the proceedings, the legal costs already incurred by the defendant, whether the claimant has any property or assets within the jurisdiction and the overall merits of the substantive claim. The sum required will not normally provide a defendant with a full indemnity in respect of his likely legal costs, but it will make some funds available from which he may recoup costs in the event that he successfully defends any legal proceedings pursued against him.

A straightforward debt collection action may be completed within a few months of the initial filing of the claim. The time taken to dispose of such claims usually depends on the complexity of the matter and the extent to which the debtor resists the proceedings.

Under the Reciprocal Enforcement of Judgments Act, judgments and arbitrators' awards from jurisdictions that accord similar recognition to Bahamian Supreme Court judgments may be registered and thereafter enforced locally. The preconditions to obtaining registration include:

- application to register must generally be made within 12 months from the date of the judgment;
- the foreign court must have acted with jurisdiction;
- the judgment must not have been obtained by fraud; and
- recognition of the foreign judgment must not be against public policy.

6 Courts

What courts are involved in the bankruptcy process? Are there restrictions on the matters that the courts may deal with?

The Supreme Court has exclusive jurisdiction over corporate insolvencies, from presentation of a winding-up petition to conclusion of the process. This is so for both ordinary companies and IBCs. The Supreme Court also exercises jurisdiction over individual bankruptcies. There are no restrictions on the jurisdiction of the court as it relates to insolvencies and bankruptcies.

Appeals against decisions and orders of the Supreme Court lie to the Bahamas Court of Appeal and, ultimately, to the Judicial Committee of Her Majesty's Privy Council, which sits in London, England.

Actions merely seeking the repayment of debts or damages of B$5,000 or less are heard in the magistrates (lower) court.

7 Voluntary liquidations

What are the requirements for a debtor commencing a voluntary liquidation and what are the effects?

A company incorporated under the Companies Act may commence voluntary liquidation in the following situations:

- a period fixed for its duration by the articles of association expires or a specific event occurs after which it is to be dissolved under its articles and the members have passed a resolution requiring the company to be wound up voluntarily;
- a resolution requiring the company to be wound up voluntarily has been passed by at least 75 per cent of its members present and entitled to vote at a general meeting; or
- the members have passed a resolution advising that it be wound up by reason of its liabilities and inability to continue in business.

An IBC that has never issued shares may commence voluntary winding-up by resolution of its directors. Subject to any restrictions imposed by its memorandum or articles, an IBC that has issued shares may commence voluntary winding-up by ordinary resolution of its members or directors. An IBC may also be wound up by resolution of directors upon expiration of the time limited in its memorandum or articles for its existence.

After commencing voluntary liquidation, the company (whether incorporated under the Companies Act or the IBC Act) must cease to carry on business except as required for its beneficial winding-up and a liquidator must be appointed. The powers of the directors thereafter come to an end and are exercisable by the liquidators. Any transfers of shares or alterations in the status of members made without the sanction of the liquidator after this will be void. The company will nonetheless retain its corporate state and all of its corporate powers until winding-up is completed.

8 Involuntary liquidations

What are the requirements for creditors placing a debtor in involuntary liquidation and what are the effects?

Both the Companies Act and the IBC Act entitle a creditor (by assignment or otherwise) to petition the Supreme Court for an order that a company be wound up on the basis of its inability to pay its debts or on grounds that it is just and equitable to do so. A company may be deemed to be unable to pay its debts in the present context where:

- it is indebted in a sum exceeding B$1,000 and, after being served at its registered office with a demand requiring payment of the sum due, it has failed to do so for at least three weeks;
- enforcement of a judgment or order in favour of the creditor against the company is returned unsatisfied in whole or in part;
- it can be proved that the company is unable to pay its debts (this raises balance sheet considerations); or
- it can be proved that the value of the company's assets is less than the amount of its liabilities, having regard to its contingent and prospective liabilities (this raises liquidity considerations).

Prior to the first appointment of liquidators, the court may appoint a provisional liquidator to take charge of the estate and effects of the company pending the hearing of the petition. All dispositions of property, transfers of shares and alterations in the status of members

of the company between commencement of winding-up and the order for winding-up are void unless otherwise approved by the court.

Once a winding-up order has been made or a provisional liquidator has been appointed, no actions or proceedings against the company may be instituted or continued without the permission of the court. After winding-up, the management powers of the directors come to an end and are exercisable by the liquidators.

9 Voluntary reorganisations

What are the requirements for a debtor commencing a financial reorganisation and what are the effects?

The Bahamas does not currently have comprehensive statutory procedures addressing financial reorganisations of insolvent companies. Reorganisations of ordinary companies are addressed in the Companies Act under the heading 'Mergers, Consolidation and Consequential Matters' and are not expressed as an alternative to an insolvent liquidation. This is also the case for IBCs.

Under the Companies Act, the directors may by resolution approve a plan of arrangement (which can relate to financial reorganisation) where it is in the best interests of the company, its creditors or its members to do so. The proposed plan of arrangement must also be approved by the members of the company and, ultimately, the court. If approved by the court, articles of arrangement are then executed by the company incorporating the plan and submitted to the Registrar of Companies for registration and certification, after which they take effect. The major distinction in this process as it concerns IBCs is that the proposed plan of arrangement may be approved by the directors and then submitted to the court without prior concurrence of the members.

It should be noted that insolvency law reform is actively being pursued by the government and the following draft legislation has been circulated for discussion:

- Companies (Amendment) Bill 2010;
- Companies (Winding-up) Rules 2010;
- Foreign Bankruptcy Proceedings (International Cooperation) Rules 2010; and
- Insolvency Practitioners' Regulations 2010.

Public consultation on the drafts remains ongoing and it is anticipated that they will be submitted to parliament for consideration in the near future.

Under the draft Companies (Amendment) Bill 2010, if a winding-up petition is presented by members, the court will be empowered as an alternative to winding-up to make (among others) an order 'regulating the conduct of the company's affairs in the future'. The court will also have a general power prior to the final meeting before dissolution to 'recall' a liquidation and place the company into active status and good standing.

10 Involuntary reorganisations

What are the requirements for creditors commencing an involuntary reorganisation and what are the effects?

There are at present no procedures in either the Companies Act or the IBC Act expressly entitling a creditor to commence an involuntary reorganisation of an insolvent company.

11 Mandatory commencement of insolvency proceedings

Are companies required to commence insolvency proceedings in particular circumstances? If proceedings are not commenced, what liabilities can result?

There is no express obligation under Bahamian law requiring a company's board to commence insolvency proceedings. Directors are nonetheless under statutory duties to the company to act in good faith and with a view to its best interests, and to act with reasonable prudence in the discharge of their functions. They may also potentially be subject to criminal and/or civil sanctions for certain types of misconduct and omissions. Where winding-up is clearly warranted and would be in the best interests of the company, a company's board would therefore be at risk in continuing to trade as normal.

12 Doing business in reorganisations

Under what conditions can the debtor carry on business during a reorganisation? What conditions apply to the use of assets and to creditors who supply goods or services after the filing? What are the roles of the creditors and the court in supervising the debtor's business activities?

A liquidator may carry on the business of the company so far as is necessary for its beneficial winding-up. The extent to which this power is exercisable will depend upon the terms of the liquidator's appointment. Outside of the insolvency context, the conditions and extent to which a company may carry on business during reorganisation will depend on the terms of the plan of arrangement approved by the court.

A company about to be wound up voluntarily may by resolution delegate to its creditors or to a committee of creditors the power of appointing liquidators or filling vacancies in the office of liquidator. It may also enter into similar arrangements concerning the powers to be exercised by liquidators and the manner of their exercise.

Under the Insurance Act 2005 the Supreme Court has the power to place a company that carries on insurance business under 'judicial management' instead or ordering it to be wound up. The application for such an order is made by the industry regulator (ie, the Insurance Commission) and is appropriate, for example, where winding-up may have far-reaching negative consequences for policyholders or the general public. The judicial manager in such situations may be empowered to continue to carry on business with a view to its reorganisation even though the company may be technically insolvent. He would, however, be subject to court control in the performance of his duties at all times.

13 Rejection and disclaimer of contracts in reorganisations

Can a debtor undergoing a reorganisation reject or disclaim an unfavourable contract? Are there contracts that may not be rejected? What procedure is followed to reject a contract and what is the effect of rejection on the other party?

There is no general right under Bahamian law to reject or disclaim a contract on the ground that it is unfavourable. A company seeking to avoid the consequences of a contract duly entered into would have to rely upon the usual common law and statutory exceptions and defences. Initiation of legal proceedings may prove necessary to establish such rights.

14 Sale of assets

In reorganisations and liquidations, what provisions apply to the sale of specific assets out of the ordinary course of business and to the sale of the entire business of the debtor? Does the purchaser acquire the assets 'free and clear' of claims or do some liabilities pass with the assets? In practice, does your system allow for 'stalking horse' bids in sale procedures and does your system permit credit bidding in sales?

The conditions attaching to the sale of assets during a reorganisation depends largely on the terms of the plan of arrangement approved by the court and the articles of arrangement governing the process. Dispositions of assets comprising more than 50 per cent in value of the assets of the company not done in the ordinary course of business must be approved by directors and members, with notice in prescribed terms being given of any members' meeting to address the issue.

The sale of assets during liquidations (voluntary or involuntary) is addressed in statute. Unless otherwise ordered, in a compulsory liquidation a liquidator is required under the Companies Act and IBC Act to obtain the prior approval of the court before selling the real or personal property or effects of the company, including choses in action. In a voluntary winding-up the liquidator may dispose of assets without approval of the court. The extent to which a liquidator in a court-supervised winding-up may make such dispositions depends on the restrictions imposed upon him in the exercise of his functions by the court.

A purchaser generally acquires the assets 'free and clear' of any claim or interest. If the assets themselves (eg, land or chattels) are subject to third-party interests, the new owner may end up taking subject to these.

'Stalking horse' bids and credit bidding by secured lenders are not expressly addressed in the Bahamian insolvency statutes. Any adoption of these procedures would have to meet the approval of the court.

15 Stays of proceedings and moratoria

What prohibitions against the continuation of legal proceedings or the enforcement of claims by creditors apply in liquidations and reorganisations? In what circumstances may creditors obtain relief from such prohibitions?

The making of an order for winding-up by the court or the appointment of a provisional liquidator triggers an automatic stay of all suits, actions and other proceedings against the company, both under the Companies Act and the IBC Act. The automatic stay is wide in scope and would apply to creditors' actions.

The continuation of any legal proceedings or the commencement of a new action in such circumstances may only be undertaken with the permission of the court. A creditor would accordingly have to make application to the court to commence or continue proceedings after winding-up. Conditions may also be imposed on the grant of such permission.

There is no automatic stay of suits, actions and proceedings in a voluntary winding-up as there is in a compulsory winding-up. Application would therefore have to be made to the court to restrain such claims.

16 Arbitration processes in bankruptcy

How frequently is arbitration used in insolvency proceedings? What limitations are there on the availability of arbitration in insolvency cases? Will the court allow arbitration proceedings to continue after an insolvency case is opened?

The courts of the Bahamas generally seek to encourage use of alternative dispute resolution mechanisms wherever possible. In practice, however, arbitration procedures are seldom invoked to resolve core issues once insolvency proceedings are in progress.

Arbitration proceedings pending at the time a winding-up order is made or a provisional liquidator is appointed would also be subject to an automatic stay. A party desiring to commence or continue such proceedings would require permission from the court. It is nonetheless to be noted that an arbitration agreement to which the debtor company is a party is not discharged by winding-up.

17 Set-off and netting

To what extent are creditors able to exercise rights of set-off or netting in a liquidation or in a reorganisation? Can creditors be deprived of the right of set-off either temporarily or permanently?

The Companies Act and IBC Act do not expressly address rights of set-off by creditors. However, both acts provide that in an insolvent winding-up the same rules shall apply and be observed with regard to (among others) debts provable as are in force under the law relating to individual bankruptcies. The Bankruptcy Act 1870 provides for mandatory set-offs where there have been mutual credits, mutual debts or other mutual dealings between the bankrupt and any creditors. Rights of set-off would thus similarly apply in an insolvent winding-up if there have been mutual dealings giving rise to cross-claims.

The draft Companies Winding-up Rules 2010 contain detailed provisions addressing contractual rights of set-off, non-set-off, subordination and netting in reference to quantification of claims. These are stated to apply to bilateral and multilateral set-off and netting arrangements. The draft rules, however, are yet to be brought into force.

The right of set-off in an insolvent winding-up may apply to both liquidated and unliquidated claims. Further, as it is mandatory and arises by statute, a creditor may not be deprived of the right.

The extent to which rights of set-off are exercisable in a reorganisation will depend on the terms of the plan of arrangement.

18 Intellectual property assets in insolvencies

May an IP licensor or owner terminate the debtor's right to use it when an insolvency case is opened? To what extent may an insolvency administrator continue to use IP rights granted under an agreement with the debtor? May an insolvency representative terminate a debtor's agreement with a licensor or owner and continue to use the IP for the benefit of the estate?

An IP licensor possesses no express statutory power to terminate a debtor's right to use intellectual property once insolvency proceedings are commenced. His ability to do so would be dependent upon the terms of the licence agreement entered into with the debtor. Likewise, a debtor possesses no statutory power to terminate IP rights once insolvency proceedings are commenced and its authority to do so would also be governed by the terms of any licence agreement entered into with the licensee.

A liquidator may, with the permission of the court, carry on the business of the debtor for the purposes of the beneficial winding-up of the company. The court may also provide by order that property belonging to the debtor is to vest in the liquidator. It would appear that to a limited extent IP rights belonging to a debtor may therefore be used by a liquidator.

19 Post-filing credit

May a debtor in a liquidation or reorganisation obtain secured or unsecured loans or credit? What priority is given to such loans or credit?

A liquidator appointed under both the Companies Act and the IBC Act may, with approval from the court:

- carry on the business of the company, so far as may be necessary for its beneficial winding-up;
- raise money on the security of the company's assets; and
- draw, make and indorse promissory notes on behalf of the company; and
- do and execute all such other things as may be necessary for winding up the affairs of the company and distributing its assets.

Secured or unsecured loans or credit may thus be obtained for the beneficial winding-up of a company, notwithstanding that it is in liquidation. No special priority is at present accorded to such loans over existing secured lenders whose rights are already fixed. Such loans would, however, rank ahead of ordinary unsecured creditors.

Financial reorganisation is a voluntary process initiated by resolution of a company's board, frequently where insolvency is not in issue. A debtor involved in this process may obtain secured or unsecured loans or credit provided this is not prohibited under the plan of arrangement governing the reorganisation. Unless special terms have been agreed under the plan, the normal rules as to priorities under the general law would apply to loans or credit obtained.

20 Successful reorganisations

What features are mandatory in a reorganisation plan? How are creditors classified for purposes of a plan and how is the plan approved? Can a reorganisation plan release non-debtor parties from liability, and, if so, in what circumstances?

There are no mandatory features that must be included in a plan of arrangement. Reorganisation under both the Companies Act and the IBC Act is voluntarily undertaken and is not set up as a general substitute to liquidation. The role of creditors and their classification do not therefore necessarily feature in the process.

The procedures to be followed in carrying out a reorganisation have been addressed in question 9. To this may be added the right of a member of a company to payment of fair value of his shares upon dissenting from specified kinds of merger, consolidation and sales or dispositions of assets or business of the company.

21 Expedited reorganisations

Do procedures exist for expedited reorganisations?

There are at present no specific procedures for expedited reorganisations of insolvents.

22 Unsuccessful reorganisations

How is a proposed reorganisation defeated and what is the effect of the plan not being approved? What if the debtor fails to perform a plan?

Non-compliance with the statutory preconditions will prevent implementation of a plan of arrangement. These have been discussed in questions 9 and 20.

The results of failure to perform a plan of arrangement are not expressly addressed in the Companies Act or IBC Act. If the default relates to payment of a debt, unless prohibited under the terms of the plan itself, a creditor would be likely to exercise remedies available to him under the general law or pursue winding-up.

23 Bankruptcy processes

During a bankruptcy case, what notices are given to creditors? What meetings are held? How are meetings called? What information regarding the administration of the estate, its assets and the claims against it is available to creditors or creditors' committees? What are insolvency administrators' reporting obligations? May creditors pursue the estate's remedies against third parties?

The onset of liquidation is brought to the attention of creditors and the wider public through various mandatory advertising requirements. Under the Companies Act, notice of any resolution passed to voluntarily wind up must be published in the Gazette (in practice, one of the major daily newspapers). The Winding-up Rules also have strict guidelines governing the advertisement of all petitions and all appointments of liquidators. Additionally, an official liquidator must describe himself as the official liquidator of the debtor company in all correspondence and documents, further bringing notice of liquidation to the attention of creditors and persons dealing with the company.

During either an involuntary or supervised winding-up, the court may direct meetings of creditors to be held for the purpose of ascertaining their wishes in relation to the appointment of liquidators and other matters relating to the winding-up. Such meetings may be convened and regulated in such manner as the court deems appropriate.

Subject to few exceptions, a liquidator must give creditors a minimum of 28 days' written notice within which to prove their debts or claims. Under the Winding-up Rules, a creditor whose claim or proof has been admitted is also entitled at all reasonable times to inspect the case file and obtain copies of any document. Creditors are likewise generally given seven clear days' written notice of any meeting directed by a judge for the purposes of ascertaining their wishes in respect of matters arising in the winding-up, including the appointment of liquidators. The requirements as to written notice of meetings are often fulfilled by advertising notice of any meetings in the local newspapers.

A liquidator in an involuntary winding-up is regarded as an officer of the court and is subject to its oversight and control. His reporting obligations will thus frequently depend upon the terms of his appointment. Any liquidation scheme addressing payment of any class of creditors, the compromise of claims and other matters affecting the assets of the company is to be submitted to the court for approval. The liquidator must also file in court every six months after winding-up has commenced a list of all proofs received by him with his decisions thereon.

Once liquidation begins, the right to pursue legal redress on behalf of the estate normally vests in the liquidator. This is often expressly addressed in his terms of appointment. Any action by a creditor against third parties would thus have to be taken independently of the liquidation.

24 Creditor representation

What committees can be formed and what powers or responsibilities do they have? How are they selected and appointed? May they retain advisers and how are their expenses funded?

In an involuntary or supervised winding-up, the court may, if thinks it expedient, direct meetings of creditors to be held for the purpose of ascertaining their wishes and may appoint a person to act as chairman of such a meeting and require him to report on its outcome.

A company about to be wound up voluntarily, or in the course of being wound up voluntarily, may by resolution delegate to its creditors or any committee of creditors the power of appointing liquidators and filling any vacancies in the appointment of liquidators. By similar resolution the power to enter into any arrangement concerning the powers to be exercised by the liquidators and the manner in which they are exercised may also be delegated to a committee of creditors. Any arrangement entered into between a company about to be wound up voluntarily and its creditors is binding on the creditors if acceded to by three-quarters of their number and value.

There is no statutory authority to form more than one creditors' committee and in practice its role is limited to addressing only the matters mentioned. There is no express power under the Companies Act or IBC Act for it to employ lawyers or accountants to advise it either before or after proposals have been accepted.

25 Insolvency of corporate groups

In insolvency proceedings involving a corporate group, are the proceedings by the parent and its subsidiaries combined for administrative purposes? May the assets and liabilities of the companies be pooled for distribution purposes? May assets be transferred from an administration in your country to an administration in another country?

Under the Rules of the Supreme Court actions involving two or more affiliated debtors pending at the same time may be consolidated. This is usually done where some common question of law or fact arises in the actions or where relief claimed arises out of the same transaction or series of transactions. Savings of legal costs and overall administrative convenience are often prominent considerations in the decision whether or not to consolidate.

There are currently no provisions in the Companies Act or IBC Act allowing assets and liabilities of companies within a group to be pooled for distribution purposes. Each member of a group is normally regarded as a separate entity. The situation is different in the case of insurance companies, where a parent and subsidiary may be wound up in conjunction by the same liquidator.

The draft Companies Amendment Bill 2010 contains provisions specifically devoted to international cooperation in insolvencies. These enable various orders to be made in aid of foreign bankruptcy

proceedings, including directions for turning over property belonging to a foreign debtor to a foreign administrator. The court is also expressly empowered under the draft bill to make a winding-up order in respect of a 'foreign company' that:

- has property located in the Bahamas;
- is carrying on business in the Bahamas;
- is the general partner of a limited partnership; or
- is registered in the Bahamas under part VI of the Companies Act.

These provisions, however, remain to be brought into force.

26 Modifying creditors' rights

May the court change the rank of a creditor's claim? If so, what are the grounds for doing so and how frequently does this occur?

There are no provisions in either the Companies Act or IBC Act empowering the court to change the rank of a creditor's claim.

27 Enforcement of estate's rights

If the insolvency administrator has no assets to pursue a claim, may the creditors pursue the estate's remedies? If so, to whom do the fruits of the remedies belong?

A creditor may not generally directly pursue remedies belonging to the liquidator. A liquidator may, for instance, sell or dispose of choses in action thereby indirectly allowing a creditor to pursue such remedies. In these circumstances, the fruits of any remedies would belong to the assignee.

28 Claims and appeals

How is a creditor's claim submitted and what are the time limits? How are claims disallowed and how does a creditor appeal? Are there provisions on the transfer of claims? Must transfers be disclosed and are there any restrictions on transferred claims? Can claims for contingent or unliquidated amounts be recognised? How are the amounts of such claims determined?

A liquidator must normally give at least 28 days' notice of any deadline for creditors to prove their debts or claims. Unless the court gives a direction admitting any creditor or class of creditors without proof, the debt is proved by affidavit verifying the debt.

The liquidator must examine every proof of debt lodged and may either admit or reject the claim in whole or in part, or require further evidence in support of it. The liquidator's decision must be given in writing and, if a proof is to be rejected, must provide written reasons for refusal. Subject to any extension of time, the liquidator's decision is to be given within 28 days of receiving the proof. A creditor that is dissatisfied with the decision of a liquidator in respect of a proof may apply to the Supreme Court to reverse or vary the decision. This must be done within 28 days from the date of service of notice of rejection unless an extension is granted.

There are no provisions preventing the sale or transfer of claims against an insolvent's estate. Creditors are accordingly free to sell or dispose of their claims in a liquidation.

29 Priority claims

Apart from employee-related claims, what are the major privileged and priority claims in liquidations and reorganisations? Which have priority over secured creditors?

Under the Companies Act and the IBC Act the following claims are paid in priority to all other debts, including those which are the subject of security charges:

- costs and expenses of the winding-up;
- statutory rates, taxes, assessments or impositions becoming due and payable within 12 months prior to winding-up;
- employees' wages in respect of services provided to the company within a specified time prior to winding-up; and
- amounts due in respect of personal injuries to workmen accruing before winding-up.

After payment of the liquidation costs, the remaining priority debts rank equally among themselves and are to be paid in full. If the assets of the company are insufficient to meet them, they are to be paid pari passu.

30 Employment-related liabilities in restructurings

What employee claims arise where employees are terminated during a restructuring or liquidation? What are the procedures for termination?

All wages of clerks, servants, workmen and labourers for services performed within a specified period prior to the commencement of winding-up are regarded as preferred debts under the Companies Act and IBC Act. The period in question is basically four months prior to liquidation for 'clerks' or 'servants' and two months prior to liquidation in the case of 'workmen' and 'labourers'.

Dismissal during a restructuring or insolvency may constitute dismissal for redundancy for the purposes of the Employment Act 1996, giving rise to a claim for statutory redundancy pay. Redundancy pay is recoverable as a debt due by the employee in the Industrial Tribunal or before the courts and is regarded as a preferred debt in a liquidation. The amount recoverable is prescribed by statute and is calculated on the basis of length of years' service and the employee's position at the date of redundancy. Where there are numerous claims for wages owed to employees, it is acceptable for one proof to be made by some person on behalf of all creditors in the class.

The procedure to be followed in terminating an employee for redundancy is addressed in the Code of Industrial Practice made pursuant to the Industrial Relations Act. The code is not legally binding but rather contains a set of best practices to be followed by stakeholders to promote good industrial relations. This recommends consultation between management, the Ministry of Labour and employees or their trade union concerning any impending redundancies. It further suggests that:

- as much advance warning as possible be given to employees of any redundancies;
- consideration be given to introducing schemes for voluntary separation and a phased rundown of employment;
- it be established which employees are to be made redundant and the order of their discharge; and
- assistance be offered in finding alternative employment.

Under the present law, claims for deficiencies in pension schemes are not accorded preferred status in liquidations. The draft Companies (Amendment) Bill 2010 seeks to address this by expressly declaring that sums payable by a company in respect of pension fund contributions are preferred debts.

31 Liabilities that survive insolvency proceedings

Do any liabilities of a debtor survive an insolvency or a reorganisation?

Upon completion of a compulsory or voluntary winding-up, the debtor company is dissolved and removed from the Register of Companies. Dissolution is normally regarded as bringing the legal existence of the company to an end, including for the purposes of liability. Section 272 of the Companies Act nonetheless provides for the liabilities of the company and of its directors, officers and members to survive removal from the register without stating any limitations on scope. While the IBC Act provides for an application to made to restore a company's name to the register, it contains no similar provisions to section 272 of the Companies Act.

Reorganisation at present is not provided as a general substitute for winding-up and may be undertaken by solvent companies.

Liabilities may thus survive this process unless creditors concerned have consented to their release. Any plan of arrangement also requires approval from the court.

32 Distributions

How and when are distributions made to creditors in liquidations and reorganisations?

Distributions will be made only where there are sufficient assets to justify this course. A liquidator must give the Registrar of the Supreme Court not more than two months' notice of any intention to declare a dividend. Notice of intention to declare a dividend must also be given by the liquidator to any creditors known to him who have not as yet proved their debts. Immediately after expiry of the time fixed for appealing the rejection of any such proofs, the liquidator shall declare a dividend and give notice of the same to each creditor whose proof has been admitted.

The timing of any distributions during a reorganisation will depend upon the terms of the plan of arrangement.

33 Transactions that may be annulled

What transactions can be annulled or set aside in liquidations and reorganisations and what are the grounds? What is the result of a transaction being annulled?

Unless approved of by the court, all dispositions of property, effects and choses in action between the commencement of winding-up and the order for winding-up in a compulsory liquidation or court supervised liquidation are void. Transfers of shares and alterations in the status of members carried out during this period are also void.

Any attachment, distress or execution of a judgment after commencement of an involuntary winding-up or supervised winding-up is void. Various transactions may also be invalidated on grounds of undue or fraudulent preference, including:

- conveyances, mortgages, deliveries of goods, payments, executions and other acts relating to property belonging to the company; and
- conveyances and assignments of the property or effects of a debtor to trustees for the benefit of creditors.

Apart from the above, under the Fraudulent Dispositions Act every disposition of property made with the intent to defraud and at an undervalue is voidable at the instance of a creditor prejudiced thereby. Any application to set aside the disposition must, however, be brought within two years of the date of the transaction complained of.

34 Proceedings to annul transactions

Does your country use the concept of a 'suspect period' in determining whether to annul a transaction by an insolvent debtor? May voidable transactions be attacked by creditors or only by a liquidator or trustee? May they be attacked in a reorganisation or a suspension of payments or only in a liquidation?

See question 33. Transactions may generally only be attacked by a liquidator, however, if the complaint is based on undue or fraudulent preference it may be impugned by a creditor.

It should also be noted that the draft Companies (Amendment) Bill 2010 expressly creates a number of new fraud-based criminal offences, such as fraud in anticipation of winding-up, transactions in fraud of creditors, misconduct in course of winding-up and making material omissions in any statement concerning the affairs of the company with intent to defraud creditors or contributories. The 'suspect period' for the offence of committing a transaction in fraud of creditors is the 12 months immediately preceding commencement of winding-up.

35 Directors and officers

Are corporate officers and directors liable for their corporation's obligations? Are they liable for pre-bankruptcy actions by their companies? Can they be subject to sanctions for other reasons?

Officers and directors are not generally personally liable for company obligations. However, under both the Companies Act and the IBC Act past or present officers and directors may be compelled to repay monies or contribute to the assets of the company on proof of specified forms of misconduct. Liability arises mainly in relation to the misapplication or wrongful retention of funds and misfeasance or breach of trust. An application for repayment may be initiated by the liquidator, a creditor or a contributory.

Under section 102 of the Companies Act directors may also be liable to repay the company in respect of resolutions passed prior to winding-up authorising prohibited share issues, loans, share acquisitions, commissions and dividend payments. Legal proceedings for repayment under the section must be commenced within two years of the resolution complained of.

In addition, past and present directors and officers may be subject to criminal prosecution for prescribed offences in connection with their management of the company's affairs. They likewise owe fiduciary obligations and a duty of care to the company.

36 Creditors' enforcement

Are there processes by which some or all of the assets of a business may be seized outside of court proceedings? How are these processes carried out?

Both real property and personal property which is the subject of a security interest may be subject to seizure in the event of a specified default occurring if the document creating the charge provides for this. If seizure is permitted, this will often be done by appointing a receiver after prior notice of the default has been given to the debtor. If the default is not remedied, the receiver will normally take possession of all assets subject to the security and sell them to satisfy the debt.

There are also rights arising at common law to distrain for rent which is in arrears. This allows a landlord to seize and sell a defaulting tenant's goods to procure rent. The tenant's tools of trade up to a certain value, wearing apparel and other specified goods have traditionally been exempt from seizure.

37 Corporate procedures

Are there corporate procedures for the liquidation or dissolution of a corporation? How do such processes contrast with bankruptcy proceedings?

Bankruptcies involving individuals are governed by the Bankruptcy Act and the accompanying Bankruptcy Rules. Proceedings are heard by the Supreme Court and may be instituted by one or more creditors in respect of a debt of not less than B$200 (equivalent to US$200) where statutory preconditions are met. Where an individual has been adjudged a bankrupt, the court will summon a general meeting of his creditors with a view to securing the appointment of a trustee of the property of the bankrupt and giving directions for the discharge of his duties. The Bankruptcy Act enables compositions or arrangements for the settlement of the bankrupt's affairs to be agreed to by the trustee. After the close of the bankruptcy or at any time during its continuance with the assent of the creditors, the court may make an order discharging the bankrupt, upon which he shall stand released from most debts provable in the bankruptcy.

The procedures for dissolution of companies are addressed in question 38.

38 Conclusion of case

How are liquidation and reorganisation cases formally concluded?

In a compulsory liquidation, after the affairs of the company have been completely wound up, the court may make an order that the company be dissolved. The liquidator then reports the order to the Registrar of Companies, who records the dissolution in the companies register. The case will be treated as being concluded as of the date of the order.

As soon as the affairs of the company are completely wound up in a voluntary winding-up the liquidator provides an account of his handling of the liquidation, which is laid before a general meeting of the company. He then makes a return to the Registrar of Companies confirming that such a meeting was held and, after expiry of three months, the company is deemed to be dissolved. Notification of the dissolution is then given by public advertisement in the Gazette and in any local newspaper as determined by the registrar.

Reorganisation is not a general substitute for winding-up in the Bahamas and may be undertaken by solvent companies. The process to be followed will ultimately be governed by the terms of the plan of arrangement approved by the court. As reorganisation is entirely distinct from winding-up and may involve no issue of insolvency, the company may continue in existence unless the plan of arrangement calls for otherwise.

39 International cases

What recognition or relief is available concerning an insolvency proceeding in another country? How are foreign creditors dealt with in liquidations and reorganisations? Are foreign judgments or orders recognised and in what circumstances? Is your country a signatory to a treaty on international insolvency or on the recognition of foreign judgments? Has the UNCITRAL Model Law on Cross-Border Insolvency been adopted or is it under consideration in your country?

The Bahamas does not currently have legislation in force providing for recognition of foreign insolvency proceedings and office-holders. The question of according recognition in such circumstances therefore falls to be determined based on principles of common law.

Courts in the Bahamas normally regard the personal law of a company to be the law of the place of its incorporation. This will therefore be accepted as the law that also governs its dissolution. On this basis, a Bahamian court will accord recognition to a liquidator's appointment under the law of the place of incorporation without the need for formal recognition procedures. Provided it is not prohibited under that law, a Bahamian court will allow the liquidator to bring proceedings on behalf of the company locally to obtain relief ancillary to the foreign winding-up. Similarly, assets belonging to the debtor may be vested in the foreign office-holder.

The Bahamas is not a signatory to a treaty on international insolvency and has not adopted the UNCITRAL Model Law on Cross-Border Insolvency. Implementation of certain parts of the Model Law may take place in the future. For instance, the draft Companies (Amendment) Bill 2010 enables a foreign office-holder to apply for recognition to act in the Bahamas and thereby attain a wide array of 'ancillary orders', including: a stay of proceedings; a stay of enforcement of a judgment; orders requiring the production of information; and orders for the turnover of assets. To date, the draft bill has not been brought into force or tabled in parliament.

Superior court judgments and arbitrators' awards issued in certain jurisdictions may be registered and enforced under the Reciprocal Enforcement of Judgments Act. This has been addressed in question 5. Foreign creditors are not precluded from proving in an insolvency and generally have the same rights as domestic creditors in participating in such proceedings.

40 Cross-border cooperation

Does your country's system allow cooperation between domestic and foreign courts and domestic and foreign insolvency administrators in cross-border insolvencies and restructurings?

At present, there are no statutory provisions addressing international cooperation in cross-border insolvencies. The draft Companies (Amendment) Bill 2010 and draft Foreign Bankruptcy Proceedings (International Cooperation) Rules 2010 do so. The draft bill empowers the court to make wide-ranging ancillary orders in aid of a foreign bankruptcy case. The draft rules speak to the procedural requirements for invoking the court's assistance. The draft bill also specifies the considerations which are to influence the exercise of the court's various powers. These include an overriding duty to seek to assure an economic and expeditious administration of the debtor's estate consistent with:

- just treatment of all creditors regardless of place of domicile;
- prevention of preferential or fraudulent dispositions;
- distribution of the estate substantially in accordance with the order of priority that applies locally;
- recognition and enforcement of security interests created by the debtor; and
- non-enforcement of foreign taxes, fines or penalties; and
- comity.

Graham Thompson & Co

Leif G Farquharson lgf@gtclaw.com
Robert K Adams rka@gtclaw.com

Sassoon House, Shirley Street and Victoria Avenue
PO Box N-272
Nassau, New Providence
Bahamas
Tel: +1 242 322 4130
Fax: +1 242 328 1069

Star General Insurance Building, 2nd Floor
PO Box F-42451
Freeport, Grand Bahama
Bahamas
Tel: +1 242 351 7474
Fax: +1 242 351 7752

www.grahamthompson.com

Bahamian courts will assist a foreign court in obtaining information or evidence located in the Bahamas which is required for use in pending civil proceedings in another jurisdiction. This is primarily achieved through the Evidence (Proceedings in Other Jurisdictions) Act. The procedure for invoking court assistance involves making an application to a Supreme Court judge.

41 Cross-border insolvency protocols and joint court hearings

In cross-border cases, have the courts in your country entered into cross-border insolvency protocols or other arrangements to coordinate proceedings with courts in other countries? Have courts in your country communicated or held joint hearings with courts in other countries in cross-border cases? If so, with which other countries?

No protocols or arrangements have been entered into between the Bahamian courts and those of any other jurisdiction to coordinate proceedings. No joint hearings have been held with courts of another country in a cross-border case.

Update and trends

Insolvency law reform is actively being pursued by the government. Drafts of Companies (Amendment) Bill 2010, Companies (Winding Up) Rules 2010, Foreign Bankruptcy Proceedings (International Co-operation) Rules 2010 and Insolvency Practitioners' Regulations have been circulated to stakeholders for comment. The draft legislation is intended to modernise the Bahamian insolvency regime.

The draft Companies Winding Up Rules 2010 contain provisions addressing international protocols. These make it mandatory for an official liquidator to consider whether it is appropriate to enter into a protocol with a foreign office-holder. The stated objective of the provisions is to promote the orderly administration of the estate of a company in liquidation, avoid duplication of work and reduce conflicts between office-holders.

GETTING THE DEAL THROUGH®

Annual volumes published on:

Air Transport
Anti-Corruption Regulation
Arbitration
Banking Regulation
Cartel Regulation
Climate Regulation
Construction
Copyright
Corporate Governance
Corporate Immigration
Dispute Resolution
Dominance
e-Commerce
Electricity Regulation
Enforcement of Foreign Judgments
Environment
Foreign Investment Review
Franchise
Gas Regulation
Insurance & Reinsurance
Intellectual Property & Antitrust
Labour & Employment
Licensing
Life Sciences
Merger Control
Mergers & Acquisitions
Mining
Oil Regulation
Patents
Pharmaceutical Antitrust
Private Antitrust Litigation
Private Equity
Product Liability
Product Recall
Project Finance
Public Procurement
Real Estate
Restructuring & Insolvency
Right of Publicity
Securities Finance
Shipping
Tax on Inbound Investment
Telecoms and Media
Trademarks
Vertical Agreements

For more information or to purchase books, please visit:
www.GettingTheDealThrough.com

The Official Research Partner of
the International Bar Association

Strategic research partners of
the ABA International section

 ISSN 1468-3180